THE UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

Statistics Teachers' Day 2012

=_____

Risk

Study 1

In 1988 the results of the Physicians' Health Study Research Group study were reported in the *New England Journal of Medicine*. In this study 22 071 male physicians (aged from 40 to 84) were randomly assigned to two groups. One group took an aspirin every second day and the other group took a placebo, a pill with no active ingredient which looked just like an aspirin. The participants did not know whether they were taking aspirin or the placebo.

After five years the number of participants in each group who had had a heart attack was recorded. The results are shown in the table below.

| Treatment | Heart attack | No heart attack | Total |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------|--------|
| Aspirin | 104 | 10 933 | 11 037 |
| Placebo | 189 | 10 845 | 11 034 |
| Total | 293 | 21 778 | 22 071 |

- 1. All parts of this question apply to Study 1.
 - (a) For those in the aspirin group:
 - (i) The proportion who had a heart attack =

(ii) The probability that a randomly selected participant had a heart attack

(iii) The percentage who had a heart attack = _____

(iv) The risk of having a heart attack =

(v) Write this risk as a rate per 1000 participants

(vi) Write this risk as a rate per 10 000 participants

1



(v) Which group is more appropriate as the baseline group? Briefly explain.



Statistics Teachers' Day 2012

(d) (i) Do male physicians aged 40 to 84 who take aspirin every second day have an increased or decreased risk of having a heart attack compared to those who take a placebo?

Circle one: increased decreased

(ii) Calculate the percentage change in risk relative to the baseline (placebo group) risk.

(iii) Interpret this percentage change in risk.

For male physicians aged 40 to 84 _____

DEPARTMENT OF AUCKLAND

Statistics Teachers' Day 2012

Study 2

In 2006 the results of a study carried out among 132 271 Jewish children born in Israel during 6 consecutive years in the 1980s were published in the *Archives of General Psychiatry*. The objective of the study was to examine the relationship between father's age at birth of child (offspring) and their risk of autism.

The offspring were assessed for autism at age 17 years. The results are shown in the table below.

| Father's Age Group | Autism | No autism | Total |
|--------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| 15 – 29 | 34 | 60 654 | 60 688 |
| 30 – 39 | 62 | 67 211 | 67 273 |
| ≥ 40 | 14 | 4 296 | 4 310 |
| Total | 110 | 132 161 | 132 271 |

2. All parts of this question apply to Study 2.

(a) For offspring from fathers aged 15 to 29 at the birth of their child:

| | (i) | The proportion who had autism | = |
|-----|-------|--|---|
| | (ii) | The probability that a randomly selected | = ed offspring had autism |
| | | | = |
| | (iii) | The percentage who had autism | = |
| | (iv) | The risk of having autism | = |
| | (v) | Write this risk as a rate per 10 000 offs | spring |
| (b) | (i) | For offspring from fathers aged 30 to 3 autism | 9 at the birth of their child, the risk of having |
| | | | = |
| | | | = |
| | (ii) | Write this risk as a rate per 10 000 offs | spring |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| THE UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS Statistics Teachers' Day 2012 | THE UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS Statistics Teachers' Day 201 |
|---|--|
| (c) (i) For offspring from fathers aged 40 or more at the birth of their child, the risk of having autism | In parts (e) and (f), use the 15 – 29 year age group as the baseline group. (e) (i) Do fathers aged 30 to 39 have an increased or decreased risk of having autistic offspring compared to those aged 15 to 29? Circle one: increased decreased |
| (ii) Write this risk as a rate per 10 000 offspring (d) Using the risk for fathers in the 15 – 29 year age group as the baseline: (i) Calculate the relative risk for fathers in the 30 – 39 year age group of having | (ii) Calculate the percentage change in risk relative to the baseline risk. |
| autistic offspring. Relative risk = = (ii) Interpret this relative risk. | (iii) Interpret this percentage change in risk. |
| | (f) (i) Do fathers aged 40 or more have an increased or decreased risk of having autistic offspring compared to those aged 15 to 29? |
| (iii) Calculate the relative risk for fathers in the 40 or more year age group of having autistic offspring. Relative risk = = | Circle one: increased decreased (ii) Calculate the percentage change in risk relative to the baseline risk. |
| (iv) Interpret this relative risk. | (iii) Interpret this percentage change in risk. |
| | |
| | |
| 5 | 6 |

DEPARTMENT OF AUCKLAND

Statistics Teachers' Day 2012

Study 3

Reports on autism and parental age have yielded conflicting results on whether mothers, fathers, or both, contribute to increased risk. A study carried out by researchers from the University of California aimed to examine the effect of one parent's increasing age within a narrow interval of the other parent's age. One of the main differences between this study and the study quoted above was the proportion of older mothers. The Israeli cohort had 588 mothers over the age of 40, while the Californian cohort had 113,080 mothers over the age of 40. The objective of the study was to examine the relationship between mothers' and father's age at birth of child (offspring) and their risk of autism.

The results of the Californian study, carried out among almost 5 million children born between 1990 and 1999 were published in the *Autism Research*.

Cases (i.e. children with autism) were diagnosed prior to the age of 6. Some results are shown in the table below.

| Mother's Age Group | Autism | No autism | Total |
|--------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| < 25 | 2 689 | 1 713 971 | 1 716 660 |
| 25 – 29 | 3 304 | 1 406 234 | 1 409 538 |
| 30 – 34 | 3 576 | 1 161 890 | 1 165 466 |
| 35 – 39 | 2 089 | 541 102 | 543 191 |
| ≥ 40 | 501 | 112 579 | 112 579 |
| Total | 12 159 | 4 935 776 | 4 947 935 |

3. All parts of this question apply to Study 3.

(a) For offspring from mothers aged under 25 at the birth of their child:

- (i) The proportion who had autism
- ii) The probability that a randomly selected offspring had autism

(iii) The percentage who had autism =

=

=

(iv) The risk of having autism

(v) Write this risk as a rate per 10 000 offspring

(b) (i) For offspring from mothers aged 25 to 29 at the birth of their child, the risk of having autism = _____

7

(ii) Write this risk as a rate per 10 000 offspring



Statistics Teachers' Day 2012

- (c) (i) For offspring from mothers aged 40 or more at the birth of their child, the risk of having autism
 - (ii) Write this risk as a rate per 10 000 offspring
- (d) Using the risk for mothers in the 25 29 year age group as the baseline:
 - (i) Calculate the relative risk for mothers in the under 25 year age group of having autistic offspring.

Relative risk =

=

= _____

(ii) Interpret this relative risk.

(iii) Calculate the relative risk for mothers in the 40 or more year age group of having autistic offspring.

Relative risk = _____

8

(iv) Interpret this relative risk.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

Statistics Teachers' Day 2012

In parts (e) and (f), use the 25 - 29 year age group as the baseline group.

(e) (i) Do mothers aged less than 25 have an **increased** or **decreased** risk of having autistic offspring compared to those aged 25 to 29?

Circle one: increased decreased

- (ii) Calculate the percentage change in risk relative to the baseline risk.
- (iii) Interpret this percentage change in risk.
- (f) (i) Do mothers aged 40 or more have an **increased** or **decreased** risk of having autistic offspring compared to those aged 25 to 29?

Circle one: increased decreased

(ii) Calculate the percentage change in risk relative to the baseline risk.

(iii) Interpret this percentage change in risk.

Note:

Another way of calculating increased or decreased risk is to use the following formula: **Change in risk = (Relative Risk – 1) x 100%**

So, when comparing mothers under 25 with mothers between 25 and 29, the relative risk is 0.67 (from above). Change in Risk = (Relative Risk – 1) x 100% = (0.67 - 1) x 100% = -33%, thus a decreased risk. And comparing mothers over 40 with mothers between 25 and 29, the relative risk is 1.89 (from above). Change in Risk = (Relative Risk – 1) x 100% = (1.89 - 1) x 100% = 89%, thus an increased risk.

9